AN EVALUATION OF THE NATIONAL CURRICULUM STATEMENT POLICY IN THE EDUCATION OF YOUTH WITH DISABILITIES IN SOUTH AFRICA. THE CASE OF THE EASTERN CAPE.

Ву

ZANDILE HILLARY SONQAYI

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements

for a degree of

MASTER OF SOCIAL SCIENCE

In the subject

DEVELOPMENT STUDIES

at the

UNIVERSITY OF FORT HARE

SUPERVISOR: MRS P.B. MONYAI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Abstra	act	(1)
Declaration		(ii)
Ackno	owledgements	(iii)
Dedic	ation	
Acron	yms	(v)
СНАР	TER 1 INTRODUCTION	
	ackground to the study atement of the problem	1 3
1.3 Re	esearch objectives of the study	3
1.4 Significance of the study		4
1.5 Delimitation		4
1.6 Ethical consideration		5
СНАР	TER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6-14
СНАР	TER 3 NATIONAL CURRICULUM STATEMENT POLICY	FRAMEWORK
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Introduction The critical and developmental out comes Principle 1: Social justice Principle 2: Outcomes – Based Education The vision of the NCS Disability in the NCS The OBE approach in the NCS	15 16 17 18 19 21 23
СНАР	TER 4 METHODOLOGY AND RESEARCH FINDINGS	
4.	Data collection approach and reasons	28
4.1	Techniques of data collection	28
4.2	Target population	32
4.3	The sample size	33
4.4	The responses by various respondents	34

Introduction	34
Policy Implementation	34
Educators	34
School managers input	37
Curriculum subject advisors	39
Special needs subject advisors	40
Curriculum managers	41
Special needs managers	
The training of educators	43
Educators	43
School managers	45
Curriculum subject advisors	45
Special needs subject advisors	46
Special needs managers	48
Infrastructure	49
Educators	49
School managers	50
Curriculum subject advisors	50
Curriculum managers	51
Special needs managers	51
Disparities between black and white schools	52
Educators	
Special needs subject advisors	53
Special needs managers	54
	Policy Implementation Educators School managers input Curriculum subject advisors Special needs subject advisors Curriculum managers Special needs managers The training of educators Educators School managers Curriculum subject advisors Special needs subject advisors Special needs subject advisors Special needs managers Infrastructure Educators School managers Curriculum subject advisors Special needs managers Infrastructure Educators School managers Curriculum subject advisors Curriculum subject advisors

4.4.6	Stakeholder participation	54
4.4.6.1	Educators	54
4.4.6.2	Special needs subject advisors	55
4.4.6.3	Special needs managers	55
4.4.7	Parents' involvement	56
4.4.7.1	School managers	56
4.4.7.2	Curriculum subject advisors	56
4.4.7.3	Special needs subject advisors	57
4.4.7.4	Curriculum managers	57
4.4.7.5	Special needs managers	58
4.4.8	Suggestions on school improvement	58
4.4.8.1	Educators	58
4.4.8.2	Curriculum subject advisors	59
4.4.8.3	Special needs subject advisors	59
4.4.8.4	Curriculum managers	60
4.4.8.5	Special needs managers	61
CHAPT	ER 5 ANALYSIS OF FIELDWORK FINDINGS	62
5.	Responses by the various participants	62
5.1	Introduction	62
5.2	Implementation	62
5.3	Parents' improvement	65
5.4	Disparities	65
5.5	Improvements	66

CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION 69	
6.1 The findings of the study 69	
CHAPTER 7 RECOMMENDATIONS 75	
7.1 Introduction 75	
7.2 Evaluation of the study7.3 Recommendations76	
7.4 Conclusion 78	
LIST OF REFERENCES 80	
Appendices 83	
1.1 Questions for managers in the districts and schools 84	
1.2 Questions for subject advisors1.3 Questions for educators85	

ABSTRACT

What initiated this research was the need for the assessment of the National Curriculum Statement policy implementation. This was brought about by the observation that there was a general complaint in the province that educators are struggling with the implementation processes. It would seem that at the formulation of this policy the schools for learners with disabilities were not considered.

The main objective of this study, is therefore, to assess the implementation of the NCS policy in relation to the principle of inclusivity regarding learners with disabilities in schools. This has been achieved through finding out how educators are coping in a situation where they are expected to improvise learning and assessment methodologies to cater for a large variety of disabilities of which they are not trained to work with.

This study used two techniques, face to face interviews with the subject advisors in the districts as well as with the educators at the schools. Questionnaires were used in the case of managers at school and district levels. Qualitative research was used to accumulate sufficient data to lead to the understanding of the problems that are encountered at different levels.

In terms of the findings, most of the respondents pointed out that the policy does not adequately cater for the needs of both the learners and the educators of the special schools. They further mentioned that the outcomes according to the policy do not stipulate what is expected of the learners with barriers to learning due to their disability conditions.

According to the responses of the different categories of participants, it became clear that the implementation of the NCS policy is not very successful due to a wide variety of problems that are encountered by the implementers. The main complained about problem is the need for the adaptation of the curriculum to accommodate the learners with disabilities. Furthermore, there is a dire need for the implementers to make reference to all the disabilities found at these schools so as to deal with their situation accordingly.

The NCS policy promises change for the better, however the necessary ramifications related to its implementation need to be successfully managed and dealt with properly. This has implications on areas of concern, mainly the training of educators on mastering the implementation and assessment processes which are key to meaningful education.

Considering that inclusivity is about change of attitude and behaviour as well as teaching and learning methodologies, it becomes difficult for these changes to materialise if and when inclusivity is not applied properly at the schools for learners with disabilities. The effectiveness of inclusivity depends on the consideration of all the factors that would lead to a healthy environment in the case of these schools. This would mean putting of all the relevant structures in place as well as encouraging team work at all levels, from the educators, management and the community. The district officials should always avail themselves for monitoring and supporting services at these schools.

The findings of this study, may contribute to the asking of many questions. This may lead to further debates which may give rise to the need for further research on many aspects that have come up in this study.

DECLARATION

I, **Zandile Hillary Sonqayi** declare that this is my original work and the thesis has not been submitted for a degree at any other university. This product is the result of my efforts through the professional of the supervisor whose name and signature appear below.

Candidate : Z.H Sonqayi

Signature :

Supervisor : Mrs P.B Monyai

Signature :

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Mrs. P.B Monyai, for her invaluable help and advice. Her unfailing support, guidance and encouragement gave me strength to persevere even when the going was tough at times.

I also would like to express my sincere thanks to all who contributed to the investigation without which the study could not have been completed.

Many thanks to the participants both at the district and school level without whose cooperation the research could not have been possible.

A big thank you to the staff in the libraries, in East London, Alice, and at Bisho for their support in helping me to find relevant literature.

To my family, especially my sister, Sweetness, and her daughter Vuyokazi, who gave me courage to persist when I wanted to give up.

To my son who always helped me when Technology seemed to be failing me, thanks a lot Siyabulela

DEDICATION

To my late mother

STELLA MILDRED NOMAKHEPHU DUZE - SONQAYI

for having taught me that every dawn brings a chance in life and to trust in God

ACRONYMS

CTA - Common Task of Assessment

DCES - Deputy Chief Education Specialist

DBST - District Based Support Team

DSE - Diploma in Special Education

FET - Further Education and Training

GET - **General Education and Training**

LST - Learner Support Teams

NCS - National Curriculum Statement

NGOs - Non Governmental Organisations

OBE - Outcomes- Based Education

SES - Senior Education Specialist

SGB - School Governing Bodies